| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Notice of Allowability | 10/748,880 | ROBRECHT ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | RICARDO L. OSORIO | 2620 |
| | NICANDO L. OSONIO | 2629 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308. | | |
| 1. This communication is responsive to <u>9/7/2007</u> . | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-34. | | |
| 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the | | |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | | |
| 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient. | | |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. | | |
| (a) Including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached | | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date | | |
| (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date | | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | - - | |
| 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. Notice of Informal P | |
| 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat | (PTO-413), te . |
| 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 6/8/2007 | Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr | nent/Comment |
| 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit | 8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme | ent of Reasons for Allowance |
| of Biological Material | 9. | |
| | | |
| : | | |
| | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1, 20, 29, and 34 are allowable. The restriction requirement of species, as set forth in the Office action mailed on 1/12/2007, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claims 2-21 and 30-33, directed to non-elected species are no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. In addition, claims 22-28 are also no longer withdrawn from consideration since examiner, upon further consideration, finds that claim 22 is also a generic claim, along with claims 1, 29, and 34, and claims 22-28 are dependent of claim 22. Therefore, all previously withdrawn claims, 2-28, and 30-33, are hereby rejoined and claims 1-34 are now allowable.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,880

Art Unit: 2629

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-34 are allowed.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1-34 are allowable since certain key features of the claimed invention are not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art. In claims 1, 22, 29, and 34, the term "defined intensity profile" is given a meaning in the specification, page 6, lines 17-23 and Figs. 7(a)-7(c), clearly contrary to ordinary meaning (see REMARKS/ARGUMENTS, page 9, lines 1-13). The closest prior art Baur (6,172,668) however singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or render the above limitation obvious.

Consistent with the well-established axiom in patent law that a patentee or applicant is free to be his or her own lexicographer, a patentee or applicant may use terms in a manner contrary to or inconsistent with one or more of their ordinary meanings if the written description clearly redefines the terms. See, e.g., Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp., 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ("While we have held many times that a patentee can act as his own lexicographer to specifically define terms of a claim contrary to their ordinary meaning," in such a situation the written description must clearly redefine a claim term "so as to put a reasonable competitor or one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the patentee intended to so redefine that claim term."); Hormone Research Foundation Inc. v. Genentech Inc., 904 F.2d 1558, 15 USPQ2d 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RICARDO L. OSORIO whose telephone number is (571) 272-7676. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY 7:00 am-5:30 PM.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/748,880

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BIPIN SHALWALA can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RICARDO OSORIO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Technology Division: 2629

RLO October 28, 2007